National Labor Relations Board



Weekly Summary of NLRB Cases

Division of Information	Washington, D.C. 20570	Tel. (202) 273-1991
September 17, 2004		W-2965
	CASES SUMMARIZED	
VISIT	WWW.NLRB.GOV FOR FULL TEXT	
Brevard Achievement Center, Inc.	Cape Canaveral, FL	1
C.T. Taylor Co.	Hudson, OH	2
OTHER CONTENTS		
List of Decisions of Administrative Law Judges		2
List of Unpublished Board Decisions and Orders in Representation		3
Cases		
 Contested Reports of Regional Directors and Hearing Officers 		
Requests for Review of Regional Directors' Decisions and Directions		

 Requests for Review of Regional Directors' Decisions and Directions of Elections and Decisions and Orders

Press Release (<u>R-2539</u>): NLRB Holds that Disabled Workers in Primarily Rehabilitative Setting are not Statutory Employees

The Weekly Summary of NLRB Cases is prepared by the NLRB Division of Information and is available on a paid subscription basis. It is in no way intended to substitute for the professional services of legal counsel, or for the authoritative judgments of the Board. The case summaries constitute no part of the opinions of the Board. The Division of Information has prepared them for the convenience of subscribers.

If you desire the full text of decisions summarized in the Weekly Summary, you can access them on the NLRB's Web site (www.nlrb.gov). Persons who do not have an Internet connection can request a limited number of copies of decisions by writing the Information Division, 1099 14th Street, NW, Suite 9400, Washington, DC 20570 or fax your request to 202/273-1789. As of August 1, 2003, Administrative Law Judge decisions are on the Web site.

All inquiries regarding subscriptions to this publication should be directed to the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, 202/512-1800. Use stock number 731-002-0000-2 when ordering from GPO. Orders should not be sent to the NLRB.

Brevard Achievement Center, Inc. (12-RC-8515; 342 NLRB No. 101) Cape Canaveral, FL Sept. 10, 2004. The Board, in a 3-2 decision involving Brevard Achievement Center (BAC), concluded that disabled workers who are in a primarily rehabilitative relationship with their putative employer are not statutory employees within the meaning of the National Labor Relations Act. The majority opinion, by Chairman Battista and Members Schaumber and Meisburg, emphasized that the Board has never asserted jurisdiction over relationships that are primarily rehabilitative in nature. Members Liebman and Walsh dissented. [HTML] [PDF]

Consistent with its recent decision in *Brown University*, 342 NLRB No. 42 (2004) (finding that graduate student assistants are not statutory employees because their relationship with their employer is "primarily educational"), the Board again set forth its interpretation of Section 2(3) of the Act. Reading that section in context with the other sections of the statute, the Board concluded that the Act was intended by Congress to cover primarily economic relationships between employer and employee. "The imposition of collective bargaining on relationships that are not primarily economic does not further the policies of the Act," it stated. Finding its longstanding rule that it will not assert jurisdiction over relationships that are "primarily rehabilitative" to be consistent with this statutory interpretation, the Board reaffirmed its "primarily rehabilitative" standard as the test for assessing the "employee" status of disabled workers in rehabilitative programs.

The Board thereafter applied the primarily rehabilitative standard to the facts of the case:

Although the disabled clients work the same hours, receive the same wages and benefits, and perform the same tasks under the same supervision as the nondisabled employees, they work at their own pace, and performance problems are dealt with through additional training rather than discipline. These policies support a determination that the relationship between BAC and its clients is primarily rehabilitative, not motivated principally by economic considerations.

Noting the factual similarities between the case and the Board's prior decisions in *Goodwill Industries of Tidewater*, 304 NLRB 767 (1991) and *Goodwill Industries of Denver*, 304 NLRB 764 (1991), the Board concluded that BAC's disabled workers are not statutory employees.

The majority voiced its concern that the imposition of collective bargaining on a primarily rehabilitative relationship would run the risk of interfering with the rehabilitative process. The majority also noted that Congress has not deemed it appropriate to change the Board's longstanding doctrine to refrain from exercising jurisdiction over those relationships.

In dissent, Members Liebman and Walsh observed that this case "presents the Board with the perfect opportunity to revisit longstanding precedent governing disabled workers in light of a legal and policy landscape that has evolved dramatically in the last 15 years." They stated that they would abandon doctrines which, they argued, were based on outdated notions about the place of the disabled in society.

In the dissent's view, the disabled workers are statutory employees, as they come within the common-law meaning of the term "employee" and they are not specifically exempted from the Act's coverage. Contrary to the majority's position that the employment relationship must be primarily economic, the dissent concluded, "economic activity need not be the sole, or even dominant, purpose of a cognizable employment relationship."

The dissenting Members asserted that the majority's decision "ignores the plain language of the Act, invades the legislative arena, and contravenes contemporary federal policy." They contended the majority "relegates the Employer's disabled janitors and all similarly-situated workers to the economic sidelines, making them second-class citizens both in society and in their own workplaces."

(Full Board participated.)

C.T. Taylor Co., Inc., and Structural Building Systems, Inc. (8-CA-33875, 33950; 342 NLRB No. 102) Hudson, OH Sept. 10, 2004. The Board agreed with the administrative law judge that Respondent Structural Building Systems, Inc. (S.B.S.) violated Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act by discharging James Ralston because of his affiliation with and activities for the Laborers. The Board relied solely on the judge's finding of pretext and found it unnecessary to pass on his alternative application of a dual motive analysis. [HTML] [PDF]

The judge dismissed, with Board approval, the complaint allegations that the Respondents C.T. Taylor Co., Inc., and S.B.S. are joint employers and that the Respondents unlawfully refused to consider for hire four employees and failed to hire three employees because of their union affiliation.

(Chairman Battista and Members Liebman and Walsh participated.)

Charges filed by the Laborers International and Iron Workers Local 17; complaint alleged violation of Section 8(a)(3). Hearing at Cleveland, Feb. 2 and 3, 2004. Adm. Law Judge George Carson II issued his decision April 1, 2004.

LIST OF DECISIONS OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

Crafts Cabinet, a division of Pozzi/Hill, Inc. (Carpenters Local 721) Chatsworth, CA September 2, 2004. 31-CA-26404; JD(SF)-68-04, Judge James M. Kennedy.

Paulstra CRC Corporation (Steelworkers Local 49L) Grand Rapids, MI September 3, 2004. 7-CA-47365; JD-86-04, Judge Jane Vandeventer.

The Wackenhut Corp. (Security, Police and Fire Professionals) Miami, FL September 7, 2004. 12-CA-23294, et al.; JD(ATL)-44-04, Judge Michael A. Marcionese.

Concrete Form Walls, Inc. (Alabama Carpenters Regional Council Local 127) Birmingham, AL September 8, 2004. 10-CA-34483, 34584, 10-RC-15381; JD(ATL)-43-04, Judge Lawrence W. Cullen.

United States Postal Service (Postal Workers Local 380) Albuquerque, NM September 8, 2004. 28-CA-19148, et al.; JD(ATL)-46-04, Judge Margaret G. Brakebusch.

Nuclear Management Co., LLC (Electrical Workers [IBEW] Locals 949 and 2150) Hudson, WI September 9, 2004. 18-CA-17112, et al.; JD-89-04, Judge Arthur J. Amchan.

Holsum de Puerto Rico, Inc. (an Individual and Auto Workers Local 2429) Toa Baja, PR September 10, 2004. 24-CA-9408, et al.; JD-72-04, Judge George Alemán.

LIST OF UNPUBLISHED BOARD DECISIONS AND ORDERS IN REPRESENTATION CASES

(In the following cases, the Board considered exceptions to and adopted Reports of Regional Directors or Hearing Officers)

DECISION AND CERTIFICATION OF RESULTS OF ELECTION

Arbors at London, London, OH, 9-RC-17884, September 8, 2004

DECISION AND DIRECTION[that Regional Director open and count ballots]

R.G. Construction Services, Inc., Elmhurst, IL, 13-RC-21178, September 8, 2004.

DECISION AND CERTIFICATION OF REPRESENTATIVE

Bliss Clearing Niagara, Inc., Grand Rapids, MI, 7-RC-22659, September 10, 2004.

(In the following cases, the Board denied requests for review of Decisions and Directions of Elections (D&DE) and Decisions and Orders (D&O) of Regional Directors)

S & S Meat Co., Inc., Kansas City, KS, 17-RM-846, September 8, 2004. Sabal Palms Health Care Center, Largo, FL, 12-RC-9056, September 8, 2004.